

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,766	PENG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742	
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> _____	
(1) <u>Ngoclan T. Mai.</u>		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Thomas Rozsa.</u>		(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>26 June 2007</u>		<b>Time:</b> <u>6:00 PM</u>	
<b>Type of Interview:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
<b>Part I.</b>			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<i>112 rejection</i>			
Claims discussed:			
<i>8-9 and 11</i>			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<i>none</i>			
<b>Part II.</b>			
<b>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</b>			
<i>Attorney agrees to amend claims 8-9, 11 and other claims to clearly define the claimed invention and put claims in proper format for allowance.</i>			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)